



<p>1. INTRODUCTIONS</p> <p><i>a. Welcoming new working group members Not applicable</i></p>	min
<p>2. FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS</p> <p><i>a. Notes from last meeting and any additional comments (see attachment 2)</i></p>	5 min
<p>3. QUALITY ASSESSMENT OF REVIEWS</p> <p><i>a. Tools for assessing qualitative and complex reviews for supporting decision-making</i></p>	15 min
<p>4. SHARING EVIDENCE TABLES</p> <p><i>a. How can this term of reference be addressed?</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;"><i>i. Term of reference 3. Share evidence tables that can be used in local guideline-development processes (or local evidence-contextualization processes more generally)</i></p> <p><i>b. What are the obstacles?</i></p> <p><i>c. How can these be overcome?</i></p> <p><i>d. Where should these be stored/curated? SRDR has been proposed by Stephanie Chang, however should COVID-END have a role in this?</i></p>	20 min
<p>5. LIVING REVIEWS</p> <p><i>a. What are the criteria for nominating a review as “living” in the context of COVID-19?</i></p> <p><i>b. Are these allied to key process steps?</i></p>	15 min
<p>6. ANY OTHER BUSINESS</p> <p><i>a. For next meeting on July 8th: Addressing equity in COVID-19 reviews. Can guidance be developed on this?</i></p> <p><i>b. Addressing next priorities outlined in the terms of reference</i></p>	5 min