

<p>1. FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS</p> <p>a. Review notes and action items from previous meeting (see attachment 2)</p>	<p>5 min</p>
<p>2. COVID-END BASELINE PROJECT</p> <p>a. Discuss updates</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developed a smaller working group for the qualitative data analysis (Amena El-Harakeh, Tiago Moreira and Stefanie Linklater). • Joint coded 6 interviews and building a coding framework from the interviews progressively • When the coding framework has been developed, plan to schedule an interpretive meeting with the wider group to discuss the coding framework • Tidying up the survey of the social network analysis still needs to be done (e.g. member-checking) 	<p>20 min</p>
<p>3. FUTURE PROJECTS</p> <p>a. Global commission on evidence synthesis post-pandemic (see attachment 3)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jeremy provided background to and an overview of current thinking about the global commission for future evidence synthesis (see attachment 3) and invited discussion • Front half is a rationale on why a global commission is needed • There is a need to have the commission be driven mainly by the demand side, in which we identify high level people on the demand side who will articulate that this is an important element to help evidence informed decision-making and can serve as advocates • Jeremy will have conversations in the next 2-3 weeks with some key players about the framing of the commission, advise on who should be part of the commission, and help us to approach those people • Next step is to generate a long list of potential commissioners before approaching people • Wording of scope of the commission needs to be revised • Eventual plan is to develop working papers (rather than working groups) from the commission • Highly feasible to run a workshop in Geneva alongside the World Health Assembly in 2022 	<p>30 min</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Likely that the baseline study will help generate some information about the challenges and the innovations that have been of interest to the commission • “Commission on evidence synthesis” title, can it be changed to “commission on how to improve supporting decision-making with high quality evidence” to help shift the focus on the decision-making? • Supply and demand language may help reinforce silos and market economy processes, which may not be desirable • Proposed timeline: Report completed by the end of the year/early next year <p>ACTION: Safa to add Phil Davis (sat on Tony Blair’s cabinet office) to the suggestions of list of potential commissioners</p> <p>ACTION: Working group to review the commission proposal and send reflections to Jeremy</p>	
<p>4. ANY OTHER BUSINESS</p>	<p>5 min</p>