COVID-19 Problems
Decision makers do not always have high-quality, synthesized evidence to meet their needs
Evidence ecosystem less coordinated than optimal
More duplication of synthesis-related activities than is constructive
New entrants not always aware of best approaches and tools
Evidence synthesis activities are of variable quality

Inputs
People
Partners - supply & demand side
Community
Working groups
Secretariat
MUN MPH students

Other resources
Project funds (Ontario Ministry of Health)
Donor funds

Work Streams
Convening partners
Sharing knowledge & expertise
Collaborating with partners, community & stakeholders
Curating useful & high-quality synthesis resources
Producing products, tools & processes
Responding to stakeholder needs
Amplifying partner resources
Advocating for a more effective evidence ecosystem

Mechanisms
Scoping
Engaging
Digitizing
Synthesizing
Recommending
Packaging
Sustaining

Outputs
Uptake & use of tools produced by COVID-END
Better alignment of partner activities
Increased collaboration among partners
Less redundancy in synthesis activities

Target Groups
Primary
Decision makers
Evidence synthesizers
Recommendation developers
Knowledge/evidence intermediaries
Secondary
Citizens
Patients
Researchers
Funders
Media

Short-term Outcomes
Better quality, more accessible and timely synthesis products
More evidence-informed decision makers
More cohesive evidence-synthesis ecosystem

Short-term Influences
Improved global response to COVID-19 pandemic

Long-term Influences
More connected evidence synthesis ecosystem that can better support health and social system transformation