COVID-END Logic Model

2020-05-29

COVID-END
COVID-19 Evidence Network
to support Decision-making

COVID-19 Problems

Decision makers do not always have high-quality, synthesized evidence to meet their needs

Evidence ecosystem less coordinated than optimal

More duplication of synthesis-related activities than is constructive

New entrants not always aware of best approaches and tools

Evidence synthesis activities are of variable quality

Inputs

People

Partners - supply & demand side

Community

Working groups

Secretariat

MUN MPH students

Other resources

Project funds (Ontario Ministry of Health)

Donor funds

Work Streams

Scoping

Engaging

Digitizing

Synthesizing

Recommending

Packaging

Sustaining

Mechanisms

Convening partners

Sharing knowledge & expertise

Collaborating with partners, community & stakeholders

Curating useful & high-quality synthesis resources

Producing products, tools & processes

Responding to stakeholder needs

Amplifying partner resources

Advocating for a more effective evidence ecosystem

Outputs

Uptake & use of tools produced by COVID-END

Better alignment of partner activities

Increased collaboration among partners

Less redundancy in synthesis activities

Target Groups

Primary

Decision makers

Evidence synthesizers

Recommendation developers

Knowledge/ evidence intermediaries

Secondary

Citizens

Patients

Researchers

Funders

Media

Short-term Outcomes

Better quality, more accessible and timely synthesis products

More evidence-informed decision makers

More cohesive evidence-synthesis ecosystem

Short-term Influences

Improved global response to COVID-19 pandemic

Long-term Influences

More connected evidence synthesis ecosystem that can better support health and social system transformation