



<p>1. INTRODUCTIONS</p> <p>a. Welcoming working group members</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">i. Heather Husson, National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools (NCCMT), Canada</p>	5 min
<p>2. FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS</p> <p>a. Revised terms of reference (see attachment 2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion regarding the role of funders (ToR point #5) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ COVID-END will not speak for, or take the place of, individual organizations and their relationships with funders ○ Focus will be on learning from funders how we can position an optimized evidence ecosystem using COVID-END as a demonstration case ○ We could seek ‘friendly funders’ that would be willing to share the wisdom of how funders think and that we could bounce ideas off of ○ Need nuance on this ToR in terms of specifying: 1) short-term goal that is about support for COVID-END activities and 2) long-term goal of support for an integrated evidence ecosystem ○ Could aim to get COVID-END on the agenda for HIRU (global collaboration of research funders) • ACTION: Heather to do the notes and take a first stab at integrating feedback on ToR item 5 and send it to co-chairs 	20 min
<p>3. DISCUSSION ON ACTIVITIES</p> <p>a. Logic model for COVID-END</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback on logic model <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ COVID-END as the short-term intervention/ “case” in overall ecosystem ○ Could be helpful to have two versions: 1) COVID-END and 2) Ecosystem w COVID-END embedded in it ○ “more connected evidence ecosystem” could have its’ own long-term impact column ○ Add a “methods” box with working group activities ○ Mechanisms are how we can convince organizations to participate and are explanatory ○ Additional outcome: evidence-informed, high quality answers to decision maker questions related to COVID-19 	15 min

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ACTION: Heather and Jeremy to work on it this week, and share with co-chairs and Scoping group <p>b. Baseline data collection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Supporting the conduct of a small qualitative research study (document analysis, website scan and qualitative interviews) for the Sustaining working group that explores the evolution of work that has occurred in the evidence ecosystem (COVID-END members) from prior to COVID-19 to present <p>c. Social network analysis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ACTION: Jeremy to reach out the Jean Louis Denis (Montreal) • ACTION: Heather H to send info on SNA that was done for NCCMT by post-doc student 	
<p>4. ENGAGEMENT WITH OTHER GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS</p> <p>a. Discussing questions brought up by Digitizing working group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. What do you see as the biggest blocker to your work right now? ii. What is your biggest technical blocker? <p>b. Any additional organizations that are currently supporting decision-makers from list shared by Engaging working group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. 3IE ii. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Evidence-based Practice Centers iii. Africa Evidence Network, which includes groups like: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Africa Centre for Evidence, University of Johannesburg • Centre for Rapid Evidence Synthesis, Makerere University iv. Centre for Learning and Evaluation Results v. Cochrane Geographic Groups: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane US Network (4 EPCs are members) vi. Collaboration for Environmental Evidence vii. Evidence Aid (UK) viii. EVIPNet ix. National Collaborating Centres +/- SPOR Support Units (Canada) x. What Works Centres (UK), which includes groups like: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Education Endowment Initiative xi. Global Evidence Synthesis Initiative (GESI) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deferred to next meeting 	15 min
<p>ANY OTHER BUSINESS</p>	5 min