1. FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS  
   a. Review previous meeting notes and action items from August 28 (see attachment 2)  
   b. Discuss inventory presentation from previous meeting and next steps for Recommending WG  

2. RECOMMENDING WG PRESENTATION AT PARTNERS MEETING  
   a. Debrief and discuss the presentation 'International alliance and AGREE-ment of 45 rapid guidelines on the management of critical care patients with COVID-19' (see attachment 3)  
   b. Questions from presentation:  
      i. From Per Olav Vandvik: Great work Yasser. How do you think your AGREE II scores compare with TRUST scores (IOM trustworthy guideline criteria tool, as used by ECRI Guideline Trust) compare?  
      ii. From Elie Akl: Thanks Amer. Rapid guidelines use a number of "shortcuts". could that explain the results on domain 3? AGREE II was developed for standard guidelines, and might not be optimal for rapid guidelines?  
      iii. From Gabriel Rada: Thanks Amer, did you measure or plan to explore if the recommendations were up to date (e.g. based directly or indirectly [through reviews] including all of the available trials)?  
      iv. From Per Olav Vandvik: I think rapid guidelines need to be assessed for trustworthiness and any shortcuts should be communicated through quality assessments  

3. GUIDELINES DOCUMENT  

4. JOINT SYNTHESIZING-RECOMMENDING MEETING  
   a. Next meeting: Wednesday September 16th at 7am EST  
   b. Agenda to include:  
      i. Allison Tong from the University of Sydney to join to discuss core outcomes set  
      ii. Discuss registry of guidelines  

5. ANY OTHER BUSINESS