

<p>1. FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS</p> <p><i>Not applicable – no actions emerged from the meeting last week.</i></p>	<p>5 min</p>
<p>2. COVID-END LOGIC MODEL FROM SUSTAINING WORKING GROUP <i>[Lead: Elie Akl and Jeremy Grimshaw]</i></p> <p><i>a. Logic model presentation (see attachment 2)</i></p> <p><i>b. Discuss the following:</i></p> <p><i>i. Does the logic model make sense from the working group’s perspective?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Agree that the two top headers might be switched</i> • <i>Would be important to consider how the digitizing group fits in, would be good to use this as a way to have a ‘rethink’ about where the digitizing group is heading (and there are a number of places it could fit in, but likely need to discuss)</i> • <i>The diagram is ‘dense’ with very ‘general’ / ‘top level’ things included, perhaps it would be helpful to have ‘concrete’ supplements to help illustrate the specific ways in which these components are being rolled in in real terms</i> • <i>Jeremy encouraged others to consider how to operationalize a more specific ‘lower level’ model that helps to explain what each group does</i> • <i>The ‘how’ of how these things work together isn’t necessarily clear in the current version of the logic model, the digitizing group should focus on how to use computers to help facilitate the relationships expressed in this logic model, and find a way to express this</i> • <i>Layout issues may help sort some of this out.</i> • <i>Digitizing group has spent a lot of time ‘thinking’ so again, like scoping (the other group that raised the issue of needing to think things through)</i> • <i>Other comments</i> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Consider how to incorporate ‘investments’ in research into the logic model (or the financing as part of the ecosystem)</i> 2. <i>Consider how to incorporate the importance of creating a ‘common language’ across the ecosystem as well</i> 3. <i>Consider having several, simpler diagrams to help with multiple and more ‘detailed’ ways in</i> 4. <i>‘Focus’ could be captured in the mechanisms (Elie) and others agreed.</i> 	<p>20 min</p>

<p><i>5. Each group – including Digitizing – could focus on the outputs, and help to flesh out what those are in the context of digitizing.</i></p> <p><i>ii. Does the logic model adequately represent the tasks and terms of reference of the working group? - See comments above</i></p> <p><i>iii. Are there any missing elements; work that your working group is doing that cannot easily be located within the model? - See comments above</i></p> <p><i>iv. Are there any early indications or examples for the short-term outcomes and long-term influences? If so, can these be packaged as ‘success stories’, which will help COVID-END’s business case and liaising with funders - Enhance RIS project, and while far from being perfect and sufficient, it helped show proof of concept for many different partners in the team.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• Group may need to consider how they may be able to take on more a ‘curating’ function as the synthesizing group did early on</i> <i>• Could be that the digitizing group in the partners call explains to others about what is going on, what they’re doing.</i> <i>• Outputs – each group likely needs to work through the logic model and look at how it sees itself in each component (e.g., outputs like the COKA mapping project to ‘link’ MEDLINE, FHIR etc.)</i> <p><i>v. Are there any emergent systems and methods within existing institutions and processes that can be reflected in the model?</i></p> <p><i>vi. Eventually we would like working groups to think beyond COVID. Thinking about the logical model can be a way to start this conversation about any tasks/roles/projects that could continue past COVID-END. This conversation could be about individual groups and also about how they all function together (in the logic model) to enable the use of evidence (in evidence ecosystem)</i></p> <p>ACTION: <i>Everyone to continue to think about how the group fits in the logic model</i></p> <p>ACTION: <i>Everyone to add comments as necessary to Alfonso’s description of who is targeted by the tools and resources table (I.e., the use cases)</i></p>	
<p>3. USE CASE TABLE</p> <p><i>a. Discuss inclusion criteria</i></p> <p><i>b. Discuss mapping features as contributing pieces to the evidence ecosystem (e.g. using alpha-numeric markers to an evidence ecosystem diagram)</i></p>	20 min
<p>4. ENHANCE MY RIS FILE’ PROJECT</p> <p><i>a. Discuss next steps</i></p>	10 min
<p>5. ANY OTHER BUSINESS</p>	5 min