

<p><b>1. FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS</b></p> <p><i>Not applicable</i></p>	<p>5 min</p>
<p><b>2. COVID-END LOGIC MODEL FROM SUSTAINING WORKING GROUP</b> <i>[Lead: Elie Akl and Jeremy Grimshaw]</i></p> <p><i>a. Logic model presentation (see attachment 2)</i></p> <p><i>b. Discuss the following:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>i. Does the logic model make sense from the working group's perspective?</i></li> <li><i>ii. Does the logic model adequately represent the tasks and terms of reference of the working group?</i></li> <li><i>iii. Are there any missing elements; work that your working group is doing that cannot easily be located within the model?</i></li> <li><i>iv. Are there any early indications or examples for the short-term outcomes and long-term influences? If so, can these be packaged as 'success stories', which will help COVID-END's business case and liaising with funders</i></li> <li><i>v. Are there any emergent systems and methods within existing institutions and processes that can be reflected in the model?</i></li> <li><i>vi. Eventually we would like working groups to think beyond COVID. Thinking about the logical model can be a way to start this conversation about any tasks/roles/projects that could continue past COVID-END. This conversation could be about individual groups and also about how they all function together (in the logic model) to enable the use of evidence (in evidence ecosystem)</i></li> </ul>	<p>20 min</p>
<p><b>3. USE CASE TABLE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>a. Discuss inclusion criteria</i></li> <li><i>b. Discuss mapping features as contributing pieces to the evidence ecosystem (e.g. using alpha-numeric markers to an evidence ecosystem diagram)</i></li> </ul>	<p>20 min</p>
<p><b>4. ENHANCE MY RIS FILE' PROJECT</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>a. Discuss next steps</i></li> </ul>	<p>10 min</p>
<p><b>5. ANY OTHER BUSINESS</b></p>	<p>5 min</p>