



1. INTRODUCTIONS

There were no new members on the call. The following people participated on the call:

*Alfonso Iorio
Brian Alper
Chris Mavergames
Gabriel Rada
Gunn Vist
Kaelen Moat
Linn Brant*

Secretariat: Anna Dion, David Tovey, Safa Al-Khateeb

2. FOLLOW-UP ON ACTION ITEMS

Feedback on presentation

- *Feedback was generally positive*
- *Would be helpful to provide short description of what the RIS file can do, why this was a first step and what it can contribute to; ask people to go and test and give feedback*
- *Initially share back to Synthesizing working group and then to partners group; invite them to test and determine value of feature*
- *Ideally would have live case to demonstrate added value of Enhance my RIS (e.g. LSRs linking to taxonomy or another active review topic among partners)*

ACTION ITEM: Digitizing working group to prepare a document describing use cases for Enhance my RIS feature to share with broader COVID-END community

3. DISCUSSION OF SOLUTIONS FOR WORKING GROUPS

“Improve my RIS file” service Google document:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1t2vtgVs4IwcJ2-zc8SypQN7OKldV_qK9iuJ_UVK7WJY/edit

a. Discuss work on standardized RIS files

- *Thinking around RIS and related meta-data can also contribute to coding taxonomy from the start to be linked to other repositories in a way to facilitate real-time updating*
- *Taxonomy should be developed in a way that speaks to existing repositories without requiring a new level of meta-data tagging; every result, should state the taxonomy and meta-data so that users also feed information back into taxonomy*

- *Option to establish standard built around EBMon FIHR standard code sets that include a range of quality classifiers. Testing “Enhance my RIS” across repositories may help identify codes that may be most relevant*
- *Incorporating quality and quality appraisal requires significant manual work; combining quality result, linking to search date of review with search returns identifying highest quality reviews first. This requires repositories already having that information in place.*
- *Particular value of Digitizing working group in developing “proof-of-concept” tools to be used for internal communication, education and as potential pilot data for funding applications*
- *Leveraging understanding of digital infrastructure to think about incremental steps towards key interventions in evidence synthesis community*
- *Without proof-of-concept, larger scale initiatives much more likely to fail*

Digitizing WG Google document:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1kiSwBeUzcMJFhOcyYFfXUuo2x3GxQF WXplvcd mKgU/edit#>

- b. Discuss and place digital solutions, ideas and opportunities into the flow diagram contained in the Synthesizing Resources and Tools document*
- *The group started to discuss how digitization could contribute to processes identified in the flow diagram for evidence synthesis- for further discussion at future meeting*

4. PRIORITY AREAS TO CONSIDER

- a. Identifying other priority areas from the terms of reference to work towards*

5. ANY OTHER BUSINESS