



SPOR 
Strategy for Patient-Oriented Research
**EVIDENCE
ALLIANCE**

Strategy for Patient-Oriented Research
SPOR
Putting Patients First 

Conflict of Interest Disclosure Policy

SPOR Evidence Alliance

Date Effective: September 2, 2020

To Be Reviewed: October 2, 2021

Prepared By:
The SPOR Evidence Alliance

Contact:
SPOR Evidence Alliance

Email: SPOREA@smh.ca
Website: www.sporevidencealliance.ca
Twitter: [@SPORAlliance](https://twitter.com/SPORAlliance)

Suggested citation: SPOR Evidence Alliance (2019). SPOR Evidence Alliance Conflict of Interest Disclosure Policy. Toronto, ON: SPOR Evidence Alliance.



Acknowledgements

The SPOR Evidence Alliance is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research ([CIHR](#)) under the Strategy for Patient-Oriented Research ([SPOR](#)) initiative.

Contributors

Dr. Andrea Tricco

Ms. Amruta Radhakrishnan

Ms. Wasifa Zarin

Ms. Sabrina Chaudhry

Ms. Sonia Thomas

Dr. Sharon Straus

SPOR Evidence Alliance Executive Committee

COI Disclosure Policy



SPOR
Strategy for Patient-Oriented Research
EVIDENCE
ALLIANCE

Strategy for Patient-Oriented Research
SPOR
Putting Patients First

1. Background

The SPOR Evidence Alliance is a partnership between researchers, trainees, patients, healthcare providers, and policy makers from across Canada who are committed to contributing to a rapid learning health system. The mission of the Evidence Alliance is to support the use of reliable and high-quality evidence in the decision-making process.

With a wide network of researchers and expertise, the Evidence Alliance accepts research requests from decision-makers, and provides timely responses to their priorities in evidence synthesis, clinical practice guidelines, and knowledge translation.

To minimize the influence of conflicts of interest (COI), it is crucial that the Evidence Alliance upholds standards that are rigorous and transparent with regard to the scientific approaches used and the reporting and sharing of research findings.

We consulted the following institutional policies in preparing this document:

- Canadian Network for Observational Drug Effect Studies (CNODES): Policy on Disclosure of Personal, Occupational, Professional, and Financial Interests Related to CNODES Research¹
- CADTH: Conflict of Interest ²
- Systematic Prospective Assessment of Rapid Knowledge Synthesis (SPARKS) Conflicts of Interest Form

2. Applicability

This policy applies to all Evidence Alliance members, and membership to the Evidence Alliance is considered incomplete until the COI Disclosure Form is submitted.

3. Purpose of this Policy

The purpose of this policy is to outline the processes in place to identify and manage any *real*, *perceived* or *potential* COIs. This policy outlines the different types of declarations, the protocol for reporting and managing significant COIs, and includes the disclosure form to be completed by all Evidence Alliance members.

4. Scope of COI

A COI occurs when personal, occupational, professional, intellectual or financial interests, either directly or indirectly, affect or appear to affect the objectivity of an Evidence Alliance member. A COI can be *real*, *potential*, or *perceived* in nature (Box 1).

Box 1. Nature of Conflicts ²

A **real COI** arises when a member has a bias, or a personal, occupational, professional or financial relationship(s) or interests that may affect or compromise, or appear to affect or compromise their work with the Evidence Alliance or with the specific project.

A **potential COI** arises when a member does not currently have a real COI but can foresee that their private, personal, or professional relationship(s) or interests may have

¹ <https://www.cnodes.ca/about/policies-procedures/>

² <https://www.cadth.ca/about-cadth/how-are-we-doing/conflict-interest>



the potential to influence their work with the Evidence Alliance (or with a specific project) in the future.

A **perceived (or apparent) COI** may exist when a reasonable, well-informed person believes that an Evidence Alliance member has a real or potential COI even though there is neither a real nor a potential conflict.

The following list illustrates some common (not exhaustive) examples of COI:

4.1 Financial

- Previous, current or potential grants and research funding
- Payments/Gifts/Gratuities/Honoraria
- Investments in business, securities or stocks
- Payments as an advisor, consultant, speaker, educational lecturer or chair
- Travel/meeting/conference expense sponsorship
- Personal education funding

4.2 Intellectual

- Public statements
- Publications and presentations
- Expert testimonies in court

4.3 Personal

- Affiliations, membership or association with specific groups or organizations
- Access to confidential information
- Lobbying activities
- Advocacy, volunteering and consulting activities
- Pending contract negotiations

5. Disclosure of COI

Evidence Alliance members are required to complete the following types of COI disclosures based on their activity within the network:

5.1 Annual Declarations

- All members who are a part of the Evidence Alliance are required to complete an annual declaration separate from project-specific declarations. The annual declaration should be as comprehensive as possible and will provide members with the opportunity to discuss and mitigate any potential COI prior to engaging in an activity. All new members must submit the Evidence Alliance COI Disclosure form upon becoming a member.

5.2 Project-Specific Declarations

- All investigators and research team members involved in an Evidence Alliance project will be required to declare project-specific COI using the Evidence Alliance COI disclosure form prior to participating in the project.



5.3 Ad Hoc Declarations

- Members are also required to provide updated declarations immediately in the event that their COI changes during the course of their participation in Evidence Alliance projects and/or activities

6. COI Management Plan

All COI forms should be submitted using the [online COI Disclosure Form](#). If you are completing a project-specific COI, the forms must also be submitted to project leads for review and record keeping.

6.1 General COI Management

- Upon identification of a significant COI, the Nominated Principal Investigator and the Executive Committee will provide the Evidence Alliance member with an opportunity to resolve the conflict.
- If the Nominated Principal Investigator and the Executive committee determine that a significant COI exists that cannot be resolved, they will determine whether the individual should be allowed to remain a member of the Evidence Alliance. If the Nominated Principal Investigator and Executive committee decide to allow the member to remain in the Evidence Alliance, a comprehensive plan for managing the member's COI should be developed by the Nominated Principal investigator and the Executive committee. A COI management plan might involve restricting the member's participation in the Evidence Alliance to specific tasks only.
- If the Nominated Principal Investigator and the Executive committee determine that a member's COI cannot be mitigated through a management plan, the member will be asked to withdraw their participation from either the Evidence Alliance, specific activity in question or both.
- It is the member's responsibility to follow up on the COI management plan which will involve:
 - i. A signed agreement between the member and Nominated Principal Investigator indicating the member's acceptance of the proposed plan.
 - ii. The member will provide routine updates to the Nominated Principal Investigator and the Executive committee indicating their compliance with the management plan. The Central Coordinating Office will maintain all records for up to 7 years.
 - iii. The Nominated Principal Investigator or the Executive committee can initiate periodic audits to ensure the member's compliance with the COI management plan.
- The Nominated Principal Investigator and the Executive committee can launch an investigation against a member who is suspected of either withholding information regarding a significant COI or is failing to comply with the COI management plan. Depending on the nature and severity of the violation the Nominated Principal Investigator may choose to apply the following sanctions on the member:
 - i. Prohibiting future participation in a particular Evidence Alliance activity or committee.
 - ii. Prohibiting future participation in the Evidence Alliance.



- A member may choose to appeal the decision of the Nominated Principal Investigator and the Executive committee. This will involve providing a rationale for their continued participation in the Evidence Alliance activity in question based on which the Nominated Principal Investigator might reconsider their decision.

6.2 Project-Specific COI Management

Managing a project-specific COI follows the same steps outlined in section 1.6. Some additional considerations include:

- If a significant COI exists that cannot be resolved, the Nominated Principal Investigator and Executive committee will determine if the Evidence Alliance member should be allowed to remain on the project. If the member is allowed to remain on the project a comprehensive plan for managing the researcher's COI should be developed. A project-specific COI management plan might involve:
 - i. Restricting the member's participation in the project to specific phases only.
 - ii. Restricting the member's authorship to co-authorship or acknowledgement following the ICMJE criteria.
- If the Nominated Principal Investigator and the Executive committee determine that a member's COI cannot be mitigated through a management plan, they will be asked to withdraw their participation from the Evidence Alliance project in question. The member with the COI can ask the Nominated Principal Investigator and the Executive committee to reconsider this decision.
- The Nominated Principal Investigator can launch an investigation against a member who is suspected of either withholding information regarding a significant COI or failing to comply with the COI management plan. Depending on the nature and severity of the violation, the Executive Committee may choose to apply the following sanctions on the member:
 - i. Prohibiting future participation in all Evidence Alliance activities.
 - ii. Notifying project leads if a review team member fails to follow the COI management plan.
 - iii. Notifying relevant publishers if work is published without appropriate declarations.

7. Policy Approval and Review

This COI policy was approved by the Evidence Alliance Nominated Principal Investigator and the Executive Committee on **October 1, 2019**. The policy will be reviewed, updated and approved annually.